

**County of San Diego
Valle De Oro Community Planning Group
P.O. Box 936
La Mesa, CA 91944-0936**

REGULAR MEETING MINUTES: August 17, 2010

LOCATION: Otay Water District Headquarters
Training Room, Lower Terrace
2554 Sweetwater Springs Blvd.
Spring Valley, California 91978-2004

1. CALL TO ORDER: 7:05 PM Jack L. Phillips, presiding Chair

Members present: Brennan, Feathers, Fitchett, Henderson, Manning, Myers, Phillips, Reith, Ripperger

Absent: Brownlee, Forthun, Hyatt, Millar, Mitrovich, Wollitz

2. FINALIZE AGENDA: As shown

3. OPEN FORUM: None

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Minutes of July 20, 2010 **VOTE: 7-0-2 to approve.**
Abstained: Henderson, Ripperger

5. LAND USE

- a. ZAP01-030W1: 9805 Campo Rd., west side, modification of an existing telecommunications facility to replace 3 panel antennas and install one directional antenna on the existing faux-palm tower and add a new equipment cabinet in the existing equipment enclosure (Sprint/Nextel).

FITCHETT presented. He described location as behind former Kentucky Fried Chicken. Mark Berlin, representing WesTower Communications, stated that they are proposing to modify an existing facility. They propose to swap out 3 antennas with new ones plus add a 14.5" dish. A new equipment cabinet will also be added and will be located inside the existing enclosure.

FITCHETT recommends better camouflaging both the antennas and the mounting hardware plus adding a sock and additional fronds over the dish. FITCHETT **moves to approve** with required recommendations. (Manning seconds.) **VOTE 9-0-0 to approve.**

- b. STP10-016: 2516 Jamacha Rd. (Ross store in Rancho San Diego Town & Country Center), site plan to replace 3 panel antennas, add one directional antenna, and add an equipment cabinet to the existing roof-top screening structure and grade-level equipment enclosure at the Ross store facility (Sprint/Nextel).

FITCHETT presented and described the location. They propose to swap 3 panel antennas with the existing ones and to add one directional antenna. One equipment cabinet will be installed within existing enclosure. FITCHETT **moves** to approve. (Brennan seconds.) **VOTE 9-0-0 to approve.**

6. NEW BUSINESS

- a. POD10-002: Proposed Amendments to the County Zoning Ordinance and County Code to amend the applicability of the Zoning Ordinance, make minor revisions, corrections and clarifications to various sections. Detail revisions are available at the Casa de Oro or Rancho San Diego Libraries or http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html click on Zoning Ordinance update and then 3803 10-002 or contact Heather Steven at 858-495-5802.

PHILLIPS described the document. He had a few issues which the County took care of before we were sent the latest amendment. PHILLIPS **moves** to not formally comment on this POD. (Fitchett seconds.) **VOTE 9-0-0 to approve.**

- b. Comments/suggestions on alternate forms of community representation and ways of reducing liability.

PHILLIPS asked if there is a better way where CPG members would not be required to file a FPPC Form 700. This would mean that members would therefore not be elected. REITH doesn't believe there is a problem with the way it is now. PHILLIPS says the County has always known about the CPG liability but there was no concern before and now they are acting like it is a new development which needs to be resolved. There have only been 3 cases filed against PGs in the past ten years. Only one of those was adjudicated against the County. It is NOT a huge problem. PHILLIPS does not think it has to change because if it does the lack of transparency would diminish the confidence of the community. PHILLIPS **moves** that the current system provides excellent transparency and inspires the community confidence and should not be changed. (Myers seconds.) **VOTE 9-0-0 to approve.**

7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS: None

8. CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

Discussion concerning several parcels totally surrounded by City of La Mesa but actually in the unincorporated area of the County. County solution is to hook the property up to La Mesa sewer. The easiest answer is to allow a contractual service agreement on the properties with the City of La Mesa.

The speed limits on Chase & Avocado Boulevard were up for review by the Board of Supervisors and they agreed not to raise the speed limits.

9. ADJOURNMENT 8:07 PM

Submitted by: Jösan Feathers